

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 1 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					TOTALS	
	-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
ALAMEDA COUNTY												
ALAMEDA CITY CIC						1	135	136	20	8	20	8
FREMONT RDA						3	1	4	1	0	1	0
OAKLAND RDA						234		234	35	14	35	14
SAN LEANDRO RDA						6		6	1	0	1	0
County Totals:						244	136	380	57	23	57	23
BUTTE COUNTY												
OROVILLE RDA							11	11	2	1	2	1
County Totals:							11	11	2	1	2	1
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY												
BRENTWOOD RDA						63		63	9	4	9	4
CONTRA COSTA COUNTY RDA						87	52	139	21	8	21	8
PINOLE RDA						1		1	0	0	0	0
County Totals:						151	52	203	30	12	30	12
FRESNO COUNTY												
CLOVIS CDA	2		2	1	0						1	0
KERMAN RDA	1		1	0	0						0	0
County Totals:	3		3	1	0						1	0
HUMBOLDT COUNTY												
EUREKA RDA		64	64	19	10	6	119	125	19	8	38	17
County Totals:		64	64	19	10	6	119	125	19	8	38	17
KERN COUNTY												
BAKERSFIELD RDA						4	13	17	3	1	3	1
CALIFORNIA CITY RDA						13		13	2	1	2	1
RIDGECREST RDA						2	83	85	13	5	13	5

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 2 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					[TOTALS]	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
LAKE COUNTY												
CLEARLAKE RDA	6	1	7	2	1						2	1
County Totals:	6	1	7	2	1	19	96	115	17	7	17	7
LOS ANGELES COUNTY												
AZUSA RDA						36		36	5	2	5	2
DOWNEY CDC						31		31	5	2	5	2
LANCASTER RDA	8	9	17	5	3	593		593	89	36	94	38
LONG BEACH RDA						13		13	2	1	2	1
LOS ANGELES CITY CRA						67		67	10	4	10	4
LOS ANGELES COUNTY CDC	10		10	3	2						3	2
NORWALK RDA						130		130	20	8	20	8
POMONA RDA						10	24	34	5	2	5	2
SANTA MONICA RDA						296		296	44	18	44	18
SIERRA MADRE RDA	50		50	15	8						15	8
WHITTIER RDA						49		49	7	3	7	3
County Totals:	68	9	77	23	12	1,225	24	1,249	187	75	210	86
MADERA COUNTY												
MADERA RDA	32		32	10	5						10	5
County Totals:	32		32	10	5						10	5
MARIN COUNTY												
SAN RAFAEL RDA						23	4	27	4	2	4	2
County Totals:						23	4	27	4	2	4	2
MERCED COUNTY												
ATWATER RDA							149	149	22	9	22	9

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 3 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					TOTALS	
	-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----	-----
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
MERCED CITY RDA						5	1	6	1	0	1	0
County Totals:						5	150	155	23	9	23	9
MONTEREY COUNTY												
MONTEREY COUNTY CDA						4		4	1	0	1	0
SALINAS RDA	2	6	8	2	1						2	1
County Totals:	2	6	8	2	1	4		4	1	0	3	1
ORANGE COUNTY												
FOUNTAIN VALLEY CDA						456		456	68	27	68	27
HUNTINGTON BEACH RDA							44	44	7	3	7	3
ORANGE CITY RDA						56		56	8	3	8	3
PLACENTIA RDA						2		2	0	0	0	0
SANTA ANA CRA						1		1	0	0	0	0
WESTMINSTER RDA						87		87	13	5	13	5
County Totals:						602	44	646	97	39	97	39
PLACER COUNTY												
LINCOLN RDA						123		123	18	7	18	7
PLACER COUNTY RDA							7	7	1	0	1	0
ROCKLIN RDA	8		8	2	1						2	1
County Totals:	8		8	2	1	123	7	130	20	8	22	9
RIVERSIDE COUNTY												
CATHEDRAL CITY RDA						241		241	36	14	36	14
COACHELLA RDA						224		224	34	13	34	13
CORONA RDA		71	71	21	11						21	11
INDIO RDA						11		11	2	1	2	1

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 4 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)					TOTALS	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
LA QUINTA RDA	69	8	77	23	12	504		504	76	30	99	42
PALM DESERT RDA						15		15	2	1	2	1
PALM SPRINGS RDA		36	36	11	5						11	5
RANCHO MIRAGE RDA	33		33	10	5	33		33	5	2	15	7
RIVERSIDE COUNTY RDA	71	91	162	49	24						49	24
RIVERSIDE RDA						214		214	32	13	32	13
County Totals:	173	206	379	114	57	1,242		1,242	186	75	300	131
SACRAMENTO COUNTY												
GALT RDA						21	6	27	4	2	4	2
SACRAMENTO CITY AND COUNTY RDA						14	35	49	7	3	7	3
County Totals:						35	41	76	11	5	11	5
SAN BENITO COUNTY												
HOLLISTER RDA		6	6	2	1		18	18	3	1	5	2
County Totals:		6	6	2	1		18	18	3	1	5	2
SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY												
BARSTOW RDA												
CHINO RDA							2	2	0	0	0	0
COLTON RDA	11	5	16	5	2						5	2
GRAND TERRACE RDA						14		14	2	1	2	1
HIGHLAND RDA	1		1	0	0	98		98	15	6	15	6
LOMA LINDA RDA							4	4	1	0	1	0
RANCHO CUCAMONGA RDA												
County Totals:	12	5	17	5	3	112	6	118	18	7	23	10
SAN DIEGO COUNTY												

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 5 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					-----TOTALS-----	
	1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl Ob.	10. VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow
	Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10
CHULA VISTA RDA	10		10	3	2	10		10	2	1	5	2
CORONADO CRA						36		36	5	2	5	2
LEMON GROVE RDA		1	1	0	0						0	0
POWAY RDA						102		102	15	6	15	6
SAN DIEGO CITY RDA						630		630	95	38	95	38
SAN DIEGO COUNTY RDA						83		83	12	5	12	5
SAN MARCOS RDA						133		133	20	8	20	8
County Totals:	10	1	11	3	2	994		994	149	60	152	61
San Francisco COUNTY												
S.F. CITY & COUNTY RDA						258		258	39	15	39	15
County Totals:						258		258	39	15	39	15
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY												
RIPON RDA	14		14	4	2						4	2
STOCKTON RDA	4		4	1	1						1	1
TRACY RDA						134		134	20	8	20	8
County Totals:	18		18	5	3	134		134	20	8	26	11
SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY												
ATASCADERO RDA						11		11	2	1	2	1
County Totals:						11		11	2	1	2	1
SAN MATEO COUNTY												
BELMONT RDA						10		10	2	1	2	1
EAST PALO ALTO RDA						133		133	20	8	20	8
MENLO PARK CDA						2	2	4	1	0	1	0
SAN CARLOS RDA						2		2	0	0	0	0

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 6 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					[TOTALS]	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
County Totals:						147	2	149	22	9	22	9
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY												
LOMPOC RDA							32	32	5	2	5	2
SANTA BARBARA RDA						18		18	3	1	3	1
County Totals:						18	32	50	8	3	8	3
SANTA CLARA COUNTY												
CAMPBELL RDA						5		5	1	0	1	0
LOS GATOS RDA						12		12	2	1	2	1
MILPITAS RDA						28		28	4	2	4	2
MORGAN HILL RDA						24		24	4	1	4	1
MOUNTAIN VIEW RA						44		44	7	3	7	3
SAN JOSE RDA						427		427	64	26	64	26
County Totals:						540		540	81	32	81	32
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY												
SANTA CRUZ CITY RDA						1	13	14	2	1	2	1
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY RDA						3		3	0	0	0	0
SCOTTS VALLEY RDA						4		4	1	0	1	0
County Totals:						8	13	21	3	1	3	1
SHASTA COUNTY												
REDDING RDA	1	8	9	3	1	15	1	16	2	1	5	2
SHASTA LAKE	1	1	2	1	0	7		7	1	0	2	1
County Totals:	2	9	11	3	2	22	1	23	3	1	7	3
SOLANO COUNTY												
FAIRFIELD RDA						169		169	25	10	25	10
County Totals:						169		169	25	10	25	10

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 7 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					-----TOTALS-----	
	1. New	2. Sub.	3. Sum	4. Incl Ob	5. Very-Low	6. New	7. Sub.	8. Sum	9. Incl Ob.	10. VLow	11. Sum	12. VLow
	Units	Rehab	#1+#2	#3 x 30%	#4 x 50%	Units	Rehab.	#6+#7	#8 x 15%	#9x 40%	#4+#9*	#5+#10
Sonoma COUNTY												
CLOVERDALE RDA						102		102	15	6	15	6
COTATI RDA	48		48	14	7						14	7
HEALDSBURG RDA						2		2	0	0	0	0
County Totals:	48		48	14	7	104		104	16	6	30	13
STANISLAUS COUNTY												
CERES RDA							3	3	0	0	0	0
County Totals:							3	3	0	0	0	0
SUTTER COUNTY												
YUBA CITY RDA		5	5	2	1						2	1
County Totals:		5	5	2	1						2	1
TULARE COUNTY												
TULARE RDA						1		1	0	0	0	0
VISALIA CRA		1	1	0	0	6	2	8	1	0	2	1
WOODLAKE RDA						39		39	6	2	6	2
County Totals:		1	1	0	0	46	2	48	7	3	8	3
VENTURA COUNTY												
PORT HUENEME RDA							2	2	0	0	0	0
SAN BUENAVENTURA RDA							42	42	6	3	6	3
County Totals:							44	44	7	3	7	3

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.

05/01/2002

California Redevelopment Agencies
INCREASE IN INCLUSIONARY OBLIGATION FROM APPLICABLE UNITS
PRODUCED IN PROJECT AREAS DURING THE REPORTING YEAR 2000/2001

Exhibit G
Page 8 of 8

	PART I					PART II					PART III	
	[H&SC Section 33413(b)(1)]					[H&SC Section 33413(b)(2)]					-----TOTALS-----	
	-----AGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----NONAGENCY DEVELOPED-----					-----TOTALS-----	
	1. New Units	2. Sub. Rehab	3. Sum #1+#2	4. Incl Ob #3 x 30%	5. Very-Low #4 x 50%	6. New Units	7. Sub. Rehab.	8. Sum #6+#7	9. Incl. Ob. #8 x 15%	10. VLow #9x 40%	11. Sum #4+#9*	12. VLow #5+#10
Total Agencies Contributing to this Report: 101	382	313	695	209	104	6,242	805	7,047	1,057	423	1,266	527

NOTES

- * Data is a summary of totals of all project areas' new construction and substantial rehabilitation (Post 1993) units from forms HCD-D2 through HCD-D7 (Appendix B) developed by any entity (agency or non-agency).
- * Totals may be impacted by rounding.
- * Requirements for Part I and II differ. Part I Agency Developed: Inclusionary is 30% with Very-Low of 50% of total. Part II Nonagency Developed: Inclusionary is 15% with Very-Low of 40% of total.
- * Part III #12 is a subset of #11.